

CWP No.12076 of 2019

B.R.S.Dental College & Hospital v. Union of India and others

Present : Mr.Girish Agnihotri, Sr. Advocate with
Mr.Vikas Kuthiala, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Gurminder Singh, Sr.Advocate with
Mr.M.S.Longia, Advocate

Mr.Anil Chawla, Advocate
for respondent No.1-Union of India.



It is contended before us that the institution is in existence for almost a decade. The deficiencies pointed out are qua BDS Course. In so far as MDS courses are concerned, after two rounds of counseling in the first and second week of April, three students were allocated for the MDS courses to the petitioner and the next round of counseling is scheduled within this month. The petitioner apprehends that on account of the decision taken by the Dental Counsel of India prohibiting the petitioner College from going ahead with the admission of BDS Course, admission to MDS courses are likely to be impacted. It is contended that the PGIMS Rohtak itself has said that the admission of three students already made to the MDS courses shall be provisional. The argument is that in case three students can be admitted provisionally on the instructions of the PGIMS, then assuming that few more students are allocated to the courses against a total strength of 11, they could be similarly treated being at par. Further contends that another petition is coming up on 18.07.2019.

Notice of motion for 18.07.2019.

... .. CWP 12076 of 2019

CWP No.12076 of 2019

-2-

In case any final decision is taken by the Government of India,
parties will be at liberty to move an appropriate application.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

08.05.2019
dss

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE